

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,990 01/30/2001		Anna Pia Slothower	PALM-3559.US.P	4362
75	590 11/06/2003	EXAMINER		
•	URABITO & HAO	NGUYEN, JENNIFER T		
Third Floor Two North Mar	ket Street	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA	95113		2674	1 1
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003	1)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						X
			Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/774,990		SLOTHOWER E	T AL.	
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit	
			Jennifer T N	guyen	2674	
Darie	The MAILING DAT	E of this communication	appears on the d	over sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress
	od for Reply				- NONTH (0) FD 014	
- - - -	If the period for reply specified at if NO period for reply is specified Failure to reply within the set or a Any reply received by the Office learned patent term adjustment.	THIS COMMUNICATION ble under the provisions of 37 CF nailing date of this communication over is less than thirty (30) days, a above, the maximum statutory per extended period for reply will, by sater than three months after the nail of the provision of the prov	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event n. a reply within the statuto eriod will apply and will a statute, cause the applica	, however, may ry minimum of expire SIX (6) Nation to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered tim MONTHS from the mailing date of this a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
1)⊠ Responsive to cor	nmunication(s) filed on	30 January 2001			
)☐ This action is FIN		This action is n	="		
	Since this applicate closed in accordate osition of Claims	tion is in condition for al nce with the practice un	llowance except t nder <i>Ex part</i> e Qua	for formal r ayle, 1935	matters, prosecution as to f C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is
-)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/ar	e nending in the applica	ation			
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	aim(s) is/are with		ideration		
5)☐ Claim(s) is/a		idiawii iioiii cons	ndcration.		
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are					
7)	_			×	
, 8		subject to restriction a	nd/or election rec	uiromont		
Appl	ication Papers	subject to restriction at	nu/or election rec	jun ement.		
9) ☐ The specification is	objected to by the Exan	miner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed	on is/are: a)□ a	accepted or b) 🗌 o	bjected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not r	equest that any objection	to the drawing(s) b	e held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)).
11) ☐ The proposed drawi	ng correction filed on _	is: a)⊟ app	roved b)□	disapproved by the Exami	ner.
		ed drawings are required i	• •	e action.	•	
12)⊡ The oath or declara	tion is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. §§	119 and 120				
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is	s made of a claim for for	reign priority und	er 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some	* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified cop	ies of the priority docun	nents have been	received.		
	2. Certified cop	ies of the priority docum	nents have been	received i	n Application No	
	application	e certified copies of the on from the Internationa tailed Office action for a	I Bureau (PCT R	ule 17.2(a		ıl Stage
14)				•	C. § 119(e) (to a provision	al application).
	_	of the foreign language	e provisional appl	ication has	s been received.	
	nment(s)		1			
2) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (P Notice of Draftsperson's Pater Information Disclosure Statem	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948	5) 5		ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper N of Informal Patent Application (P	

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to amendment filed on 08/25/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over prior art Fig. 1 (cited by Applicant) in view of Robsky et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,838,309).

Regarding claims 1, 9, and 16, the prior art Fig. 1 teaches a integrated enclosure/touch screen assembly comprising: a display mechanism (140); a digitizer mechanism comprising a top film (120) and a resistive digitizing element (130); a supporting structure (105) for supporting said display mechanism (140); and a cover (110) for the touch screen assembly that is disposed over and encloses said top film of the digitizer mechanism to allow mechanical transfer between the cover (110) and the digitizer mechanism, wherein the resistive digitizing element can be activated by mechanical pressure applied to the external surface of the cover (page 10, line 11 to page 11, line 10 in specification).

,

Application/Control Number: 09/774,990

Art Unit: 2674

The prior art Fig. 1 differs from claims 1, 9, and 16 in that it does not specifically teach the cover is a single piece cover enclosure. However, referring to Figs. 1-10, Robsky teaches single piece cover enclosure (24) for a touch screen assembly that is disposed over and encloses a top film of the digitizer mechanism (28) to allow mechanical transfer between the single piece cover (24) and the digitizer mechanism (28) (col. 3, lines 17-40, col. 4, lines 13-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the single piece cover enclosure for a touch screen assembly that is disposed over and encloses a top film of the digitizer mechanism to allow mechanical transfer between the single piece cover and the digitizer mechanism as taught by Robsky in the system of the prior art Fig. 1 in order to provide a moisture and dust free environment for the touch screen mechanism.

Regarding claims 2, 3, and 17, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches a single piece cover enclosure is constructed using in mold decoration (col. 3, of Robsky, lines 17-40).

Regarding claims 4 and 11, the prior art Fig. 1 further teaches finger pressure on the external surface of said single piece cover enclosure can be used to activate said digitizer mechanism (page 10, line 11 to page 11, line 10 in specification).

Regarding claims 5 and 12, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches wherein stylus pressure on the external surface of said single piece cover enclosure may be used to activate said digitizer mechanism (col. 1, lines 10-63 of Robsky).

Application/Control Number: 09/774,990

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claim 6, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches wherein said single piece cover comprises a mylar polycarbonate material (col. 3, lines 17-40).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 20, the prior art Fig. 1 further teaches the soft thermoplastic film has sufficient deflection under external pressure to active said digitizer mechanism.

Regarding claims 8 and 15, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches the single piece cover enclosure (24) for the display mechanism and said digitizer mechanism is constructed with a flat outer top surface free of any indentation (Figs. 1-10 of Robsky, col. 3, lines 17-40, col. 4, lines 13-67).

Regarding claims 10 and 19, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches said single piece cover enclosure is a soft thermoplastic outer film that is coupled to said top film of said digitizer mechanism that is coupled to the supporting structure (col. 3, lines 17-40 of Robsky).

Regarding claims 13 and 18, the prior art Fig. 1 further teaches the digitizing element of said digitizer mechanism is a resistive type digitizing element.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number

Art Unit: 2674

is **703-305-3225.** The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard A Hjerpe** can be reach at **703-305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121

Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Jennifer T. Nguyen 10/27/2003

SUPERVICE AND ASSESSED AND ASSESSED ASSESSEDA ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSEDA ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSEDA ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSEDA